At the highest level, introducing a second, page-limited, non-journal papers track at SIGGRAPH and SIGGRAPH Asia is a good idea.

263 responses

- ● Strongly disagree
- ● Disagree
- ● Neutral
- ● Agree
- ● Strongly agree

- 27.4%
- 39.5%
- 9.1%
- 13.7%

Even if you disagree with creating such a program, we welcome your feedback on how such a program should be implemented.

What should the title of the program be? (We do not plan to change the title of our "Technical Papers" program.)

263 responses

- ● Technical Briefs
- ● Conference Papers
- ● Short Papers
- ● Symposium Papers
- ● short papers
- ● Technical Sketches is the old name fo…
- ● Short papers is good, or "Letters" cou…
- ● what is even the purpose of this???

▲ 1/2 ▼

- 20.9%
- 55.9%
- 14.4%

If we introduce this program, we plan to enforce hard page limits. ACM's style is somewhat compact; a typical 8-page CVPR paper is about 7 pages in the ACM style. We do not plan to include references in page counts.

263 responses

- 4 pages
- 5 pages
- 6 pages
- 7 pages
- 8
- 8 pages
- Whatever the page limit it, it should e…
- 8-10 pages, as in Original SIGGRAP…

△ 1/2 ▼

49.8%

26.6%

12.2%

Review Process: There are currently three models that our community uses for reviewing in computer graphics: A large committee with tertiaries (Technical Papers), a large committee with no tertiaries (SCA, HPG, I3D), and Associate Editors with tertiaries exclusively performing the reviews (ToG). Which model do you think would be most appropriate for this program?

263 responses

- Technical Papers (primary committee…
- SCA/HPG/I3D (review committee, no…
- ToG (associate editors + tertiaries)
- open review like ICLR
- I honestly don't know
- I am not sure what is the real differen…
- these are all pretty bad models
- AC + reviewers (CVPR/ICML/NeurIPS)

△ 1/2 ▼

37.6%

49.4%

Should there be a rebuttal period?

263 responses

- ● Yes — 54%
- ● No — 24.7%
- ● Indifferent — 21.3%

All papers appearing in this program could be extended and submitted to any journals that accept extended conference papers. However, there is the potential to create a relationship with the (relatively new, but recently indexed) journal "Proceedings of the ACM on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques" (PACM on CGIT).

How important is it to your career that there is a path to a journal publication?

263 responses

- ● Very Important — 27.8%
- ● Important — 29.3%
- ● Indifferent — 27%
- ● Unimportant — 16%

How interested would you be in an option to publish an invited, extended version of this publication in the journal PACM on CGIT (perhaps with reviewer continuity)?

263 responses

- Very Interested
- Interested
- Indifferent
- Uninterested

21.7%
12.9%
40.3%
25.1%

If you answered positively to the above, how important would you rate reviewer continuity in the PACM on CGIT portion of the process?

228 responses

- Very Important
- Important
- Indifferent
- Unimportant

25%
46.5%
26.3%

Additional details

If this program is introduced, what sort of presentation format do you think should be used? (note: minutes below are rough guidelines.)

263 responses

- Oral (5 minute)
- Oral (10 minute)
- Oral (3 minute) + Poster
- Oral (3 minute) + Poster + Video + Or…
- 15 - 20 minute
- Oral (15 minutes) -- minimize the diffe…
- Oral 20 minutes like any other
- Oral (20 min) (same as regular techni…

△ 1/4 ▽

Pie chart values: 48.3%, 17.5%, 15.6%

## How should the deadline be offset from Technical Papers?

259 responses

- No Offset
- 1 week later
- 2 weeks later
- 1 month later
- *Substantially* later. Ideally 6 months…
- After the paper deadline
- If possible, do it after the SIGGRAPH…
- Completely different time of year. Thi…

△ 1/3 ▽

Pie chart values: 34.4%, 34%, 21.2%

Would you be willing to serve on the committee?

158 responses

- Yes
- No
- Maybe

57%
7%
36.1%

What would be the main purpose of this program from your perspective?

258 responses

- Attractive Alternative to ML/Vision
- Easier to Publish a Graphics Paper
- Opportunities for Early Grad Students
- Faster Turn-around from Submission…
- All of the above
- Publishing research experiments that…
- Encourage more concise, less bloate…
- All above. Plus 2 other benefits: 1) s…

▲ 1/5 ▼

15.5%
41.9%
17.8%
12.4%